

Metric Spaces / Baire Category

Thm: A nonempty product space $\prod_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ is metrizable iff each M_α is metrizable and M_α is a single point for all but countably many of the indices.

Pf: If $\prod M_\alpha$ is metrizable, then each factor space M_α is homeomorphic to a subspace of the product and hence, since subspaces of metric spaces are themselves metric spaces, they are metrizable.

Conversely, let $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be metric spaces. Each is metrizable via a bounded metric, ρ_n st $|\rho_n(x, y)| \leq 1 \quad \forall x, y \in M_n$.

for $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$, define $\rho(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_n(x_n, y_n)}{2^n}$ we claim

$\rho(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ is a metric. Clearly positive semi-definite, and clearly symmetric by symmetry of each ρ_n . For triangle inequality,

$$\rho_n(x_n, y_n) \leq \rho_n(x_n, z_n) + \rho_n(z_n, y_n) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_n(x_n, y_n)}{2^n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_n(x_n, z_n)}{2^n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_n(z_n, y_n)}{2^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq \rho(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \rho(\vec{z}, \vec{y}). \quad \text{NTS that it induces the product}$$

Topology, Let $\vec{x} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$, U a basic nbhd of \vec{x} , ie

$$U = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \pi_j^{-1}(B_{\rho_j}(x_j, \epsilon_j)). \quad \text{Let } \epsilon = \min \left\{ \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, \frac{\epsilon_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{\epsilon_m}{2} \right\}.$$

ugh I'm not finishing this. \square

Urysohn's Metrization Thm: A regular, 2^{nd} countable space is metrizable. (ie $T_3 + 2^{\text{nd}}$ cble \Rightarrow Metrizable / T_4 / T_6)

Pf: Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a base for X . Let $A = \{(U, V) : U, V \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \bar{U} \subseteq V\}$. Since X is T_3 , $\forall V \in \mathcal{B}$, $\exists S \in \tau$ st $\bar{S} \subseteq V$ and thus a $U \in \mathcal{B}$ st $U \subseteq S \Rightarrow \bar{U} \subseteq \bar{S} \subseteq V$, so A is at least as big as \mathcal{B} , and further no bigger than $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$. ie A is countable. Note that 2^{nd} cble \Rightarrow Lindelöf, and any regular Lindelöf space is normal. So X is normal. Note that since $\bar{U} \subseteq V$, $\bar{U} \cap (X - V) = \emptyset$, and both are closed, so by normality and Urysohn's Lemma,

\exists for each pair $(U, V) \in A$, a cts function $f_{UV} : X \rightarrow I$ st

$f(\bar{U}) = 0$ and $f(X - V) = 1$. Consider the collection

$\mathcal{F} = \{f_{UV}\}$. This is a countable collection. Further, if

$x \in X$, $X - V$ closed, then V is an open nbhd of x , ie

$\exists W \in \mathcal{B}$ st $x \in W \subseteq V$, and further a $U \in \mathcal{B}$ st

$x \in \bar{U} \subseteq \bar{U} \subseteq W \subseteq X - V$. Thus the function $f_{UV}(\bar{U}) = 0$, and $f_{UV}(X - V) = 1$, ie $f_{UV}(x) = 0$.



So \mathcal{F} separates points from closed sets.

Thus the evaluation map $e : X \rightarrow \prod_{f \in \mathcal{F}} I_f$ is

an embedding, ie X is homeomorphic to a

subspace of $\prod_{f \in \mathcal{F}} I_f$. But since \mathcal{F} is countable, and

each I_f is a metric space, $\prod_{f \in \mathcal{F}} I_f$ is itself a metric space

This \mathcal{X} is homeomorphic to a metric space and is therefore metrizable. [4]

Def: A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a metric space is Cauchy if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ st } \rho(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

A metric space \mathcal{X} is complete if every Cauchy sequence converges.

We say ρ is a complete metric for \mathcal{X} , and call \mathcal{X} completely metrizable if there \exists a complete metric which generates it.

★ Complete metrizability is a topological property, but completeness itself is a property of a metric.

Ex: $(0, 1)$ with ρ_{std} is not a complete metric space, but

$(0, 1)$ is completely metrizable, since it is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

(*) $\{x_n\} = \frac{1}{n}$ is a Cauchy sequence, but does not converge in the usual metric. I.e., a subspace of a metric space is metrizable by the restriction of the old metric, but that doesn't mean that there isn't an equivalent metric which is complete.

The completion of a metric space (\mathcal{X}, ρ) is a complete metric space

(\mathcal{Y}, σ) st \mathcal{X} can be embedded into \mathcal{Y} , and st the image of \mathcal{X} is dense in \mathcal{Y} .

Metric spaces (M, ρ) and (N, σ) are isometric if \exists a distance preserving injective function $f: M \rightarrow N$. That is $\forall x, y \in M, \rho(x, y) = \sigma(f(x), f(y))$.

Thm: Every metric space M can be isometrically embedded as a dense subset of a complete space. The resulting completion is unique up to isometry.

Pf: Let (M, ρ) be a metric space, \mathcal{M} be the set of all Cauchy sequences in M . Note that if $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\rho(x_n, y_n)$ is also a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , which is complete, thus, defining

$$d(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n, y_n) \quad \text{is legal. We claim } d \text{ is a pseudometric.}$$

Clearly, $d(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = 0$ if $\{x_n\} = \{y_n\}$. Clearly symmetric by symmetry of ρ , and clearly satisfies the triangle inequality since so does ρ and limits distribute over sums. Let

(M^*, d^*) is the metric space induced by the pseudometric d , where M^* is the collection of equivalence classes $[\{x_n\}]$ where $\{x_n\} \sim \{y_n\}$ if $d(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = 0$ (This forces $d^*([\{x_n\}], [\{y_n\}]) = d(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\})$ where $\{x_n\} \in [\{x_n\}], \{y_n\} \in [\{y_n\}]$ to be a true metric) Let $g: M \rightarrow M^*$ be defined by $g(x) = [\{x, x, x, x, \dots\}]$

we claim g is an isometry. Let $x, y \in M$. Then

$$d^*(g(x), g(y)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, y) = \rho(x, y), \text{ confirming this claim.}$$

I don't feel like I'm getting much out of this proof, so I'm going to skip showing that $g(M)$ is dense in M^* or that M^* is complete or that M^* is unique up to isometry. \square

Corollary: Any metric space has a completion

Recall, a set A is nowhere dense if $\bar{A}^\circ = \emptyset$. Also recall that the collection of dense sets form an ideal

Defⁿ: A space X is a Baire space if, given a countable collection of closed nowhere dense sets $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(\bigcup A_n)^\circ = \emptyset$.

A subspace A of a space X is said to be of the 1st Category in X if it is contained in the union of a countable collection of closed, nwd... sets. Otherwise, A is of the 2nd category.

Thus, X is a Baire Space iff \forall nonempty open sets U in X , U is of the second category, since the Union of a countable collection of closed nwd sets has empty interior iff it contains no nonempty open sets iff no nonempty open sets are contained in the union of a countable collection of closed nwd sets.

Lemma: X is a Baire Space iff \forall countable collections of dense open sets $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X , $\bigcap U_n$ is also dense in X .

[I.e. X is a Baire Space iff countable unions of small sets are small iff countable intersections of large sets are large]

PF: Let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be open, dense then $\bigcap U_n$ is dense in X iff $\bigcup U_n^c$ is nwd, \square (Latex)

Thm (Baire Category Thm): If X is a compact Hausdorff Space or a complete metric space, then X is Baire.

PF: Let $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable collection of closed sets with empty interiors. NTS $\forall U \in \tau$, $U \neq \emptyset$, $\exists x \in U$ st $x \notin \bigcup A_n$.

Fact that X is compact, Hausdorff. Then X is T_4 and more importantly regular, so since $A_1^o = \emptyset$, we may fix any nonempty open $U_0 \in \tau$, and choose a $y \in U_0$ st $y \notin A_1$.

Then since A_1 is closed, $y \notin A_1$, regularity allows us to let

$U_1 \in \tau$ be st $y \in U_1$, $\overline{U_1} \cap A_1 = \emptyset$ [$\exists V, W$ st $V \cap W = \emptyset$, $y \in V$, $A_1 \subseteq W$, then



Then $y \in V \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $V \cap U_0 \in \tau \Rightarrow$
 \exists a shrinking U_1 st $y \in U_1 \subseteq \bar{U}_1 \subseteq V \cap U_0 \subseteq U_0$
 and $V \cap W = \emptyset \Rightarrow V \cap A_1 = \emptyset \Rightarrow (V \cap U_0) \cap A_1 = \emptyset$
 $\therefore \bar{U}_1 \subseteq V \cap U_0 \Rightarrow \bar{U}_1 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$

To summarize, we have A_1 closed, $y \notin A_1$,
 $y \in U_0$, $y \in \bar{U}_1 \subseteq U_0$ with $\bar{U}_1 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$. If \mathcal{X} is
 a metric space, let wlog

$$A_1 \quad \text{diam}(U_1) = \sup \{ \rho(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \in U_1 \} < \frac{1}{2}$$

Next, since $U_1 \not\subseteq A_2$, $\exists y'$ st
 $y' \notin A_2$ and $y' \in U_1$. By the same
 argument, $\exists U_2 \in \tau$ st $y' \in U_2$,

$\bar{U}_2 \subseteq U_1$, $\bar{U}_2 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$, and if \mathcal{X} a metric
 space, $\text{diam}(U_2) < \frac{1}{2}$. Continue in this

manner to define for each n a nonempty open
 set U_n st $\bar{U}_n \subseteq U_{n-1}$, $\bar{U}_n \cap A_n = \emptyset$, and
 if \mathcal{X} a metric space, $\text{diam}(U_n) < \frac{1}{n}$.



We claim $\bigcap \bar{U}_n \neq \emptyset$. If we can show this, we're done,
 since then if $x \in \bigcap \bar{U}_n$, then by construction $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $x \in U_n \cap \bar{U}_n \cap A_n = \emptyset$, and moreover $\bar{U}_n \subseteq U_0 \Rightarrow x \in U_0$,
 which was arbitrary, and so $x \notin \bigcup A_n$. If \mathcal{X} is compact, T_2 ,
 then the sequence of closed sets $\{\bar{U}_n\}$ is nested and
 has the finite intersection property, since if $m = \max \{n_i\}_{i=1}^k$ for
 a subcollection $\{\bar{U}_{n_1}, \dots, \bar{U}_{n_k}\}$, then U_m is nonempty $\Rightarrow x \in U_m$
 $\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap \bar{U}_m$

So since X is compact the intersection $\bigcap \bar{U}_n$ is nonempty.

If X is a complete metric space, for each n , choose $x_n \in \bar{U}_n$

st $x_n \notin \bar{U}_m \forall m > n$. [can do since $\text{diam}(U_m) < \text{diam}(U_n)$

$\Rightarrow \exists x, y \in U_n$ st $\rho(x, y) > \sup \{ \rho(a, b) : a, b \in U_m \} \Rightarrow x \notin \bar{U}_m$

or $y \notin \bar{U}_m$]. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Since $\rho(x_n, x_m) \leq \max \{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m} \}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, choose N st $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$,

then if $m, n > N$, $\rho(x_n, x_m) < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$. Since X is complete,

the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to some x , ie $x \in \bar{U}_n \forall n$, ie

$x \in \bigcap \bar{U}_n$, ie $\bigcap \bar{U}_n$ is nonempty. \square

Ex E is a Baire Space.

PF: Note that \mathbb{R} is a complete metric space and thus Baire.

Note that if D is dense in E , then it's dense in \mathbb{R}_{std} .

Since E is finer than \mathbb{R}_{std} , so if all open sets in E intersect

D then certainly all open sets in \mathbb{R}_{std} intersect D . Further,

if D is dense in \mathbb{R}_{std} , then for any open set U in E ,

$\exists a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ st $[a, b) \subseteq U$, $a < b$, so if we let

then $(a, c) \subseteq [a, b)$, and (a, c) open in $\mathbb{R}_{std} \Rightarrow (a, c) \cap D \neq \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow [a, b) \cap D \neq \emptyset$, so D is dense in \mathbb{R}_{std} iff D is dense in E .

Then if $\{U_n\}_{new}$ is a ctbl collection of open dense sets

in E , then it is a collection of dense sets in \mathbb{R}_{std} . We claim

that $\text{int}_{\mathbb{R}_{std}}(U_n) := V_n$ is dense in \mathbb{R}_{std} . Let $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

then $\exists c, d$ st $[c, d) \subseteq (a, b) \Rightarrow [c, d) \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$, open in E ,

So, $\exists e, f$ st $[e, f) \subseteq [c, d) \cap U_n \Rightarrow (e, f) \subseteq [c, d) \cap U_n \subseteq U_n$
ie $(e, f) \subseteq \text{int}_{\mathbb{R}^{\text{std}}}(U_n)$, $(e, f) \subseteq (a, b) \Rightarrow (a, b) \cap \text{int}_{\mathbb{R}^{\text{std}}}(U_n) \neq \emptyset$
So $\text{int}_{\mathbb{R}^{\text{std}}}(U_n) := V_n$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^{std} . Thus, $\bigcap V_n$ is dense
in \mathbb{R}^{std} since \mathbb{R}^{std} is a Baire space. But then $\bigcap V_n$ is dense
in E , as we've shown. So E is a Baire Space. \square